

## United State's Patent and Trademark Office

INITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,011 11/01/2001		Roy K. Greenberg	PA-5270-RFB	3255	
9896	7590 09/25/2003		·		
COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE			EXAMINER		
			PHILOGEN	E, PEDRO	_
BLOOMING	10N, IN 47402		•		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-		•	3732		_
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	1	
				· <b>D</b>	
	10/003,011 9896 COOK GRO P.O. BOX 226 BLOOMING	10/003,011 11/01/2001 9896 7590 09/25/2003 COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE P.O. BOX 2269 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402	10/003,011 11/01/2001 Roy K. Greenberg  9896 7590 09/25/2003  COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE P.O. BOX 2269 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402	10/003,011 11/01/2001 Roy K. Greenberg PA-5270-RFB  9896 7590 09/25/2003  COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE P.O. BOX 2269 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402  ART UNIT  3732	10/003,011 11/01/2001 Roy K. Greenberg PA-5270-RFB 3255  9896 7590 09/25/2003  COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE P.O. BOX 2269 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3732

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>				ΛK			
· .		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/003,0	11	GREENBERG E	T AL.			
		Examin		Art Unit				
			nilogene	3732				
Th MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	ation appears on the	e cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED ST THE MAILING DAT  - Extensions of time may b after SIX (6) MONTHS fro  - If the period for reply spei  - If NO period for reply is s  - Failure to reply within the  - Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR E OF THIS COMMUNIC, a available under the provisions of om the mailing date of this communication of the maximum status and the second of	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stattory period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a tutory minimum of th vill expire SIX (6) MO olication to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered tim  DNTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ety. communication.			
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed	d on <u>21 <i>July</i> 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is	s FINAL. 2t	b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
•	2 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
	ove claim(s) is/are		onsideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s)								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	<del></del>							
,	is/are objected to.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	are subject to restriction	on and/or election i	requirement.					
Application Papers	-							
9)☐ The specificat	ion is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s	) filed on is/are: a	a)□ accepted or b)□	objected to by	the Examiner.				
• •				eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)				
• • •	=			disapproved by the Exami	iner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.					•			
· -	nent is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	Some * c)☐ None of:							
<del>-</del>	ed copies of the priority d							
	ed copies of the priority d			•				
apr	of the certified copies of dication from the Interna ed detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this Nationa ). ot received.	al Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
	Cited (PTO-892) 's Patent Drawing Review (PT : Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (F				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,011

Art Unit: 3732

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi (5,910,144).

With respect to claim 1, Hayashi discloses a medical grasping device comprising: an elongate control member (50) having an atraumatic distal tip section and a proximal end portion; as best seen in Fig.2, the elongate control member further including a grasping portion (40) proximal the distal tip section; an outer sheath (22) with a passageway therethrough, as best seen in FIG.2, surrounding the elongate control member and relatively movable with respect thereto; and a control assembly (28,36,30,38,52) disposed at a proximal end of the outer sheath and the proximal end portion of the elongate control member; as best seen in FIG.2, and in operative relation thereto for urging the grasping portion from a distal end of the outer sheath and retraction thereinto; as set forth in column 4, lines 23-36.

With respect to claims 2-6, Hayashi discloses all the limitations, as set forth in columns 3-5, lines 1-67, and as best seen in FIGS 1-13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/003,011

Art Unit: 3732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi (5,910,144) in view of Avellanet (6,264,664).

With respect to claim 7, it is noted that Hayashi teaches all the limitations, except for a connecting block affixed to the control member disposed in a longitudinal slot; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Avellanet evidences the use of a connecting block disposed in a slot to move the grasping device in and out of the sheath.

Therefore, given the teaching of Avellanet, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the connecting block and the slot in the device of Avellanet with the control assembly of the device of Hayashi to facilitate the in and out of the grasping device within the sheath.

Claims 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi (5,910,144) in view of Hillstead (5,098,440).

With respect to claims 8-22, it is noted that Hayashi teaches all the limitations, except for wire loops that are substantially circular upon full deployment, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Hillstead evidences the use of wire loops that are circular upon full deployment and having side sections that overlap and touch the vessel wall to engage the object to be retrieve with a greater force.

Therefore, given the teaching of Hillstead, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the

Application/Control Number: 10/003,011

Art Unit: 3732

design of the grasping device of Hillstead in the grasping device of Hayashi to engage

the object to be retrieve with greater force.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703)

308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to

4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

Pedro Philogene September 22, 2003

PEDRO PHILOGENE

Page 4